

## ORIGINAL

## **ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS**

## UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Deb Reagan

Phone:

AZ CORP GUMMISE DOCKET CONTES Fax:

**Priority: Respond Within Five Days** 

2015 MAY 6 PM 4 06

Opinion

No. 2015 - 122233

Date: 5/4/2015

**Complaint Description:** 

19Y Net Metering

08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

**Bruce** 

Plenk

Account Name:

**Bruce Plenk** 

Home:

Street:

Work:

City:

Tucson

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85712

<u>is:</u>

**Utility Company.** 

Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division:

Electric

Contact Name:

Janet Bock

**Contact Phone:** 

**Nature of Complaint:** 

Customer sent the following -

\*\*\*\*\* E-01461A-15-0057 \*\*\*\*\*

Trico is attempting to radically change the way that solar customers are paid for excess electricity they send to the grid after meeting their own requirements. Despite Trico's claim of an emergency requiring immediate action without a hearing, no such emergency exists. Rather Trico has created a severe reduction in solar applications for connection because of the uncertainty surrounding Commission action on this petition. Trico should not be able to change policy on 48 hours notice without Commission approval. Any action taken by the Commission on this case should allow for a reasonable transition time to a new payment option, if the Commission moves in that

Similarly, this matter should not be heard at all now as an independent matter. Rather it should be a part of a rate case, where all possible inter-class "subsidies" are examined.

Alternatively, this matter should be a part of a generic proceeding regarding net metering so there is a consistent statewide approach.

\*End of Complaint\*

Utilities' Response:

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 06 2015

**Investigator's Comments and Disposition:** 


Comments entered for the record and filed with Docket Control.

\*End of Comments\*

DOCKETED BY

Date Completed: 5/4/2015

## ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION **UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**



Opinion No. 2015 - 122233